# United States Reaches Settlement to Recover More Than \$700 Million in Assets Allegedly Traceable to Corruption Involving Malaysian Sovereign Wealth Fund

Wednesday, October 30, 2019

Share

For Immediate Release Office of Public Affairs

The Department of Justice has reached a settlement of its civil forfeiture cases against assets acquired by Low Taek Jho, aka Jho Low, and his family using funds allegedly misappropriated from 1Malaysia Development Berhad (1MDB), Malaysia's investment development fund, and laundered through financial institutions in several jurisdictions, including the United States, Switzerland, Singapore and Luxembourg.

These assets, located in the United States, the United Kingdom and Switzerland, are estimated to be worth more than \$700 million. With the conclusion of this settlement, together with the prior disposition of other related forfeiture cases, the United States will have recovered or assisted in the recovery of more than \$1 billion in assets associated with the 1MDB international money laundering and bribery scheme. This represents the largest recovery to date under the Department's Kleptocracy Asset Recovery Initiative and the largest civil forfeiture ever concluded by the Justice Department.

"As alleged in the complaints, Jho Low and others, including officials in Malaysia and the United Arab Emirates, engaged in a brazen multi-year conspiracy to launder money embezzled or otherwise misappropriated from 1MDB, and he used those funds, among other things, to engage in extravagant spending sprees, acquiring one-of-kind artwork and luxury real estate, gambling freely at casinos, and propping up his lavish lifestyle," said Assistant Attorney General Brian A. Benczkowski of the Justice Department's Criminal Division. "This settlement agreement forces Low and his family to relinquish hundreds of millions of dollars in ill-gotten gains that were intended to be used for the benefit of the Malaysian people, and it sends a signal that the United States will not be a safe haven for the proceeds of corruption."

"A staggering amount of money embezzled from 1MDB at the expense of the people of Malaysia was laundered through the purchase of big-ticket assets in the U.S. and other nations. Thanks to

this settlement, one of the men allegedly at the center of this massive scheme will lose all access to hundreds of millions of dollars," said U.S. Attorney Nicola T. Hanna of the Central District of California. "The message in this case is simple: the United States is not a safe haven for pilfered funds. Our strict anti-money laundering controls are effective, and we will seize assets used by criminals to conceal ill-gotten gains."

"Today's settlement with Jho Low demonstrates the continued commitment of the FBI to root out the fraud and selfishness of the corrupt individuals who conspired to pay bribes and launder funds which belong to the Malaysian people," said FBI Assistant Director Terry Wade of the Criminal Investigative Division. "The FBI's dedicated International Corruption Squads will continue to combat foreign corruption which reaches our shores. We will not allow criminals, foreign or domestic, to use the United States in furtherance of their criminal activities."

"The action announced today will allow the United States government to deny Mr. Low the use of the assets purchased with this extraordinarily large sum of money he allegedly misappropriated from 1MDB and the people of Malaysia," said Chief Don Fort of IRS Criminal Investigations (IRS-CI). "Mr. Low allegedly attempted to launder these funds through multiple international jurisdictions and a web of shell corporations, but his greed finally caught up with him. This case is a model for international cooperation in significant cross-border money laundering investigations"

According to the civil forfeiture complaints, from 2009 through 2015, more than \$4.5 billion in funds belonging to 1MDB were allegedly misappropriated by high-level officials of 1MDB and their associates, including Low, through a criminal conspiracy involving international money laundering and bribery. 1MDB was created by the government of Malaysia to promote economic development in Malaysia through global partnerships and foreign direct investment, and its funds were intended to be used for improving the well-being of the Malaysian people.

Under the terms of the settlement, Low, his family members, and FFP, a Cayman Islands entity serving as the trustees overseeing the assets at issue in these forfeiture actions, agreed to forfeit all assets subject to pending forfeiture complaints in which they have a potential interest. The trustees are also required to cooperate and assist the Justice Department in the orderly transfer, management and disposition of the relevant assets. From the assets formerly managed by FFP, the United States will release \$15 million to Low's counsel to pay for legal fees and costs. Under the agreement, none of those fees may be returned to Low or his family members. The assets subject to the settlement agreement include high-end real estate in Beverly Hills, New York and London; a luxury boutique hotel in Beverly Hills; and tens of millions of dollars in business investments that Low allegedly made with funds traceable to misappropriated 1MDB monies.

Low separately faces charges in the Eastern District of New York for conspiring to launder billions of dollars embezzled from 1MDB and for conspiring to violate the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) by paying bribes to various Malaysian and Emirati officials, and in the District of Columbia for conspiring to make and conceal foreign and conduit campaign contributions during the United States presidential election in 2012. The charges in the indictments are merely allegations, and defendants are presumed innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt in a court of

law. This agreement does not release any entity or individual from filed or potential criminal charges.

The assets being forfeited subject to this settlement are in addition to the nearly \$140 million in assets the U.S. previously forfeited in connection with Low's investment in a business entity related to the Park Lane Hotel in New York, as well as a super-yacht, valued at over \$120 million, seized by law enforcement authorities in Indonesia at the request of the Justice Department and recovered by Malaysian authorities directly from Indonesia. Following conclusion of today's settlement, several civil forfeiture complaints arising out of the 1MDB criminal conspiracy remain pending against assets associated with other alleged co-conspirators.

The FBI's International Corruption Squads in New York City and Los Angeles and the IRS-CI are investigating the case. Deputy Chief Woo S. Lee and Trial Attorneys Kyle R. Freeny, Joshua L. Sohn, Barbara Levy and Jonathan Baum of the Criminal Division's Money Laundering and Asset Recovery Section and Assistant U.S. Attorneys John Kucera, Michael R. Sew Hoy and Steven R. Welk of the Central District of California are prosecuting the case. The Criminal Division's Office of International Affairs is providing substantial assistance.

The Department also appreciates the significant assistance provided by the Attorney General's Chambers of Malaysia, the Royal Malaysian Police, the Malaysian Anti-Corruption Commission, the Attorney General's Chambers of Singapore, the Singapore Police Force-Commercial Affairs Division, the Office of the Attorney General and the Federal Office of Justice of Switzerland, the judicial investigating authority of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg and the Criminal Investigation Department of the Grand-Ducal Police of Luxembourg.

The Kleptocracy Asset Recovery Initiative is led by a team of dedicated prosecutors in the Criminal Division's Money Laundering and Asset Recovery Section, in partnership with federal law enforcement agencies, and often with U.S. Attorney's Offices, to forfeit the proceeds of foreign official corruption and, where appropriate, to use those recovered assets to benefit the people harmed by these acts of corruption and abuse of office. In 2015, the FBI formed International Corruption Squads across the country to address national and international implications of foreign corruption. Individuals with information about possible proceeds of foreign corruption located in or laundered through the U.S. should contact federal law enforcement or send an email to kleptocracy@usdoj.gov (link sends e-mail) or https://tips.fbi.gov/.

A civil forfeiture complaint is merely an allegation that money or property was involved in or represents the proceeds of a crime. These allegations are not proven until a court awards judgment in favor of the United States.

Updated October 30, 2019

Stipulation [PDF, 196 KB]

	Case 2:16-c	v-05364-DSF-PLA	Document 180 #:212		Page 1 of 11 Page ID		
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	UNITED	STATES OF AME	ERICA,	No. CV 16-5364	-DSF (PLAx)		
11		Plaintiff,			CONSENT JUDGMENT		
12		V.		<u>OF FORFEITU</u>	<u>JRE</u>		
13	ANY RIGHTS TO PROFITS, ROYALTIES AND DISTRIBUTION PROCEEDS OWNED BY OR OWED RELATING TO EMI MUSIC PUBLISHING GROUP,		BUTION				
14 15				[This Consent Judgment is case-dispositive]			
16		Defendant.					
17	JW NILE (	BVI) LTD.,					
18	Claimant.						
19							
20							
21	I.	INTRODUCTI					
22	1. Plaintiff United States of America ("United States" or "the government")						
23	and claimant JW Nile (BVI) Ltd ("Claimant") (collectively, together with certain non-						
24	claimants	claimants who have agreed to be bound by this Judgment <sup>1</sup> , the "Parties") have made a					
25	1 TL	e non claimant no	rties to the Stin	ulation sealing on	try of this Judgment are		
26		<sup>1</sup> The non-claimant parties to the Stipulation seeking entry of this Judgment are FFP (Cayman) Limited, FFP Trustee (NZ) Limited, and FFP (Directors) Limited, FFP					
27	(Corporate Services) Limited (collectively, "FFP"); the FFP-controlled claimants identified below (together with "FFP," the "Claimant Entities"); and beneficiaries Low						
28					, and beneficiaries Low		

stipulated request for the entry of this Consent Judgment, which entry disposes of the entirety of this action.

2. During 2016 and 2017, the government commenced thirty related civil forfeiture cases in the Central District of California, against a wide variety of real and personal property located in the United States and abroad, including defendant assets owned personally by members of the Low Family or by the Claimant Entities (collectively, the "Defendant Assets")<sup>2</sup>, as set forth in further detail below.

3. The United States initiated this civil forfeiture action on July 20, 2016. (Docket Number ("DN") 1). A First Amended Complaint ("FAC") was filed on August 4, 2017. (DN 140). Notice was given and published according to law. On October 31, 2016, Low Hock Peng, Goh Gaik Ewe, Low Taek Szen and Low May Lin filed a verified claim (DN 36), which claim was subsequently withdrawn on May 9, 2017. (DN 129). On December 22, 2016, EMI Music Publishing Management, LLC ("EMI") filed a verified claim in response to the Complaint (DN 80) and, on October 3, 2017, a verified claim in response to the FAC. (DN 150). EMI thereafter filed an answer to the FAC on October 24, 2017. (DN 156). On April 4, 2019, EMI withdrew its claims and answer. (DN 177). Pursuant to this Court's Order of March 21, 2017 (DN 122), claims were filed on March 24, 2017 by Claimant (DN 126, in response to the Complaint) and October 11, 2017 (DN 152, in response to the FAC). On October 30, 2018, this Court entered an Order resolving all interests of EMI, and authorizing an interlocutory sale of

Hock Peng, Goh Gaik Ewe, Low May Lin, Low Taek Szen, and Low Taek Jho (collectively, the "Low Family").

<sup>2</sup> The Defendant Asset(s) in each case are either the *res* or the proceeds of the interlocutory sale of the *res* ("substitute *res*") as identified in further detail in the legal descriptions provided in the operative Complaints filed in each Action, and the Stipulations and Consent Judgments for each incorporate those descriptions by reference. The Defendant Assets are owned either personally by members of the Low Family, or by the Claimant Entities. FFP directly owns and/or controls each of the Claimant Entities either through a trust structure and/or shareholding(s) and/or directorship(s).

# Case 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180-1 Filed 10/30/19 Page 3 of 11 Page ID #:2120

the defendant asset. (DN 172). Said sale resulted in net proceeds of \$415,055,702.34, which proceeds are currently in the custody of the United States Marshals Service as a substitute res. (DN 173). JW Nile (BVI) Ltd. remains the sole claimant in this action, and the time for filing claims and answers has expired.

4. The Stipulations sought entry of Consent Judgments in each of thefollowing Actions, which would be case dispositive due to the lack of presence of otherclaimants:

a. United States v. One Bombardier Global 5000 Jet Aircraft, Bearing
Manufacturer's Serial Number 9265 and Registration Number N689WM, its Tools and
Appurtenances, and Aircraft Logbooks, CV 16-5367 DSF (PLAx) ("Bombardier Jet
Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is Global One Aviation (Global
5000) Ltd.

b. United States v. Real Property Located in New York, New York, CV 16-5374 DSF (PLAx) ("Columbus Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is 80 Columbus Circle (NYC) LLC.

c. United States v. Real Property Located in New York, New York, CV 16-5375 DSF (PLAx) ("Greene Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is 118 Greene Street (NYC) LLC.

d. United States v. Real Property Located in Los Angeles, California,
CV 16-5378 DSF (PLAx) ("Oriole Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action
is Oriole Drive (LA) LLC.

e. United States v. Real Property in London, United Kingdom, owned by Stratton Street (London) Ltd., CV 17-4240 DSF (PLAx) ("Stratton Action"). The FFPcontrolled claimant in this action is Stratton Street (London) Limited.

f. United States v. Real Property in London, United Kingdom, owned by Seven Stratton Street (London) Ltd., CV 17-4242 DSF (PLAx) ("Seven Stratton Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is Seven Stratton Street (London) Ltd.

g. United States v. Real Property in London, United Kingdom owned by Eight Nine Stratton Street (London) Ltd., CV 17-4244 DSF (PLAx) ("Eight Nine Stratton Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is Eight Nine Stratton Street (London) Ltd.

h. United States v. Certain Rights and Interests in the Electrum Group, CV 17-4447-DSF (PLAx) ("Electrum Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is JW Aurum (Cayman) GP Ltd.

i. United States v. All Rights to and Interests in the Shares of Flywheel
Common Stock Held or Acquired by FW Sports Investments LLC, CV 17-4448 DSF
(PLAx) ("Flywheel Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is FW Sports
Investments LLC.

j. United States v. Any Rights to Profits, Royalties and Distribution Proceeds Owned by or Owed to JW Nile (BVI) Ltd., JCL Media (EMI Publishing Ltd), and/or Jynwel Capital Ltd, Relating to EMI Music Publishing Group North America Holdings, Inc., and D.H. Publishing L.P., CV 16-5364 DSF (PLAx) ("EMI Publishing Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is JW Nile (BVI) Ltd.

5. The Stipulations further sought entry of Consent Judgments in the following Actions, which would resolve all claims of FFP, the Claimant Entities, and members of the Low Family, but would not be case-dispositive due to the presence of other claimants not party to the Stipulations:

a. United States v. The Real Property Known as The Viceroy L'Ermitage Beverly Hills, CV 16-5368 DSF (PLAx) ("L'Ermitage Real Property Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is LBH Real Estate (Beverly Hills) LLC. The claimant not party to the Stipulation in this case is VHG Beverly Hills LLC.

b. United States v. All Business Assets of The Viceroy L'Ermitage
Beverly Hills, Including All Chattels and Intangible Assets, Inventory, Equipment, and
All Leases, Rents and Profits Derived Therefrom, CV 16-5369 DSF (PLAx)
("L'Ermitage Business Assets Action"). The FFP-controlled claimants in this action are

LBH Real Estate (Beverly Hills) LLC; JW Hospitality (VHG US) LLC; and JW Hospitality (VHG Intl) Ltd. The claimant not party to the Stipulation in this case is VHG Beverly Hills LLC.

c. United States v. Certain Rights to and Interests in The Viceroy Hotel Group, CV 17-4438 DSF (PLAx) ("VHG Action"). The FFP-controlled claimants in this action were JW Hospitality (VHG US) LLC and JW Hospitality (VHG Intl) Ltd. The claimant not party to the Stipulation in this case is Mubadala Development Company PJSC.

6. Lastly, the Stipulations have noted that – although the following cases have been resolved – they are nonetheless considered part of the global, comprehensive resolution reached in the Stipulations:

a. United States v. All Right and Title to the Yacht M/Y Equanimity, CV 17-4441 DSF (PLAx) ("Equanimity Action"). The FFP-controlled claimants in this action were Equanimity (Cayman) Ltd.; Equanimity Crew (Cayman) Ltd.; Equanimity Lifestyle (Cayman) Ltd.; and Equanimity Operations & Maintenance (Cayman) Ltd. The case was dismissed without prejudice by joint stipulation of the parties.

b. United States v. All Right to and Interest in Symphony CP (Park
Lane) LLC, Held or Acquired, Directly or Indirectly, by Symphony CP Investments LLC
and/or Symphony CP Investments Holdings LLC, Including Any Interest Held or Secured
by the Real Property and Appurtenances Located at 36 Central Park South, New York,
New York, Known as The Park Lane Hotel, Any Right to Collect and Receive Any Profits
and Proceeds Therefrom, and Any Interest Derived From the Proceeds Invested in The
Symphony CP (Park Lane) LLC by Symphony CP Investments LLC and Symphony
CP(Park Lane) LLC, CV 16-5370 DSF (PLAx) ("Park Lane Action"). The FFPcontrolled claimants in this action were 36 CPS Condos (NYC) LLC; 36 CPS Luxury
Hotel (NYC) Limited; 36 CPS Parking (NYC) Limited; and 36 CPS Residential Sales
(NYC) Limited. The FFP-controlled claimants withdrew their claims, and a consent
judgment of forfeiture was entered by the Court thereafter.

# II. FINDINGS

The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES:

# Jurisdiction

7. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and over the subject matter of the actions set forth in Paragraphs 4 and 5 (collectively, the "Actions"). The government has given and published notice of this Action as required by law, including Supplemental Rule G for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court. If taken as true, the allegations set out in the operative complaint are sufficient to state a claim for forfeiture of the Defendant Asset. All potential claimants to the Defendant Asset other than FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family, are deemed to have admitted the allegations of the Complaint.

8. This Consent Judgment does not constitute a finding of guilt, fault, liability and/or any form of wrongdoing on the part of FFP, the Claimant Entities, or the Low Family. Furthermore, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California and the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, shall be bound by the terms of this Consent Judgment and the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel. The entry of this Consent Judgment shall resolve all of the government's civil, criminal, and administrative asset forfeiture actions or proceedings relating to the Defendant Asset(s) as they relate to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or members of the Low Family, arising from any acts or omissions alleged in the Actions, or any of them. Nothing in this Consent Judgment constitutes a waiver or release by the government of criminal claims, except for the asset forfeiture claims related to the Defendant Assets. The Defendant Asset(s) in this Action shall be disposed of as provided herein, except that where a Defendant Asset is subject to a pre-existing order for interlocutory sale (the "Order(s) for Interlocutory Sale"), such order shall govern that interlocutory sale, and this Consent Judgement shall not abrogate or nullify the sales

terms and procedures defined by an Order for Interlocutory Sale, or any underlying contracts or agreements entered into to effectuate those sales terms and procedures, unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Parties.

# Disposition of Forfeited Defendant Asset(s)/Substitute Res

9. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, all right, title, and interest of FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family concerning the Defendant Asset(s) shall immediately be forfeited to the United States, and no other right, title, or interest shall exist therein, unless otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, and with the exception of the Defendant Assets in the Stratton Action, the Seven Stratton Action, and the Eight Nine Stratton Action (collectively, the "Stratton Actions"), which shall not be deemed forfeited until February 29, 2020, subject to Paragraph 10 below.

10. Where a Defendant Asset in the Stratton Actions is sold to a third party in an interlocutory sale prior to February 29, 2020, the proceeds of such sale shall be considered forfeited as the substitute *res* for the Defendant Asset in that Stratton Action on the date the sale is completed. A sale shall be considered "completed" after (1) the sales proceeds have been released from escrow, and (2) the sales proceeds have been distributed in accordance with the terms of the applicable Order(s) for Interlocutory Sale.

11. Upon entry of a Consent Judgment in any of the Oriole Action, the Greene Action, or the Columbus Action, any pre-existing contracts that FFP and/or the Claimant Entities have entered into with third parties in relation to the sale of those Defendant Assets shall be terminated, and new contracts with substantially similar material terms executed between the government and those third parties will govern.

12. Any and all monetary proceeds from income ("Income Proceeds")
generated from the operations and business activities of the Defendant Assets in the
Greene Action, the Columbus Action, the L'Ermitage Real Property Action, the
L'Ermitage Business Assets Action, and the Stratton Actions (collectively the "Income
Property Actions"), including but not limited to rental income and/or income from hotel
operations, shall be paid to and retained by the government for payments or

reimbursement of any taxes or liabilities becoming due on said Income Proceeds prior to forfeiture, from the respective filing dates of each Income Property Action through the date of entry of the Stipulation in the applicable Action. For the avoidance of doubt, in no event will the United States be liable for the payment of any tax liabilities that exceed the amount of the Income Proceeds unless pursuant to a separate court order.

## **Released Funds**

13. The government shall release of the sum of USD 15,000,000.00, without interest (the "Released Funds"), as described below.

14. The Released Funds shall be drawn from a portion of the funds held in escrow in the EMI Publishing Action ("EMI Proceeds"), and shall be transferred to accounts as directed by Kobre & Kim LLP, The Christie Law Firm LLC, and Lowenstein Sandler LLP, which shall provide any reasonable information required, including personal identifiers required by federal law or regulation to facilitate payment, and complete all documents required to facilitate such payment. The payment of the Released Funds shall be made as soon as reasonably practicable, and in any event before the later of (i) 30 days after the entry of Consent Judgments in all of the Actions listed in paragraphs 4 and 5, above; (ii) 30 days after the government's receipt of the information needed to make the payment (including the necessary identifiers and bank account and routing information); or (iii) 14 days after the granting of the Cayman court petition as referenced in Paragraph 21 below.

15. The Released Funds shall be applied in their entirety solely to pay for the Low Family's outstanding legal fees and costs relating to the Actions. Under no circumstances shall the Released Funds be remitted and/or credited back by counsel, either in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or the Low Family. For the avoidance of doubt, the application, and/or use of the Released Funds as payment of legal fees and costs relating to the Actions listed in paragraphs 4 and 5, above, including for use as payment of future legal fees and costs incurred on behalf of the Low Family to implement this Consent Judgment, shall not itself be considered a

## Case 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180-1 Filed 10/30/19 Page 9 of 11 Page ID #:2126

remittance, credit, and/or benefit whatsoever, directly or indirectly, to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or the Low Family. Violation of this term shall constitute a material breach of this Consent Judgment and, notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Judgment, shall entitle the government to seek, and this Court to impose, any remedy or sanction authorized by law or equity.

16. With the exception of the foregoing conditions, and consistent with the doctrines of *res judicata* and collateral estoppel, the entry of this Consent Judgment shall resolve all of the government's civil, criminal, and administrative asset forfeiture actions or proceedings relating to the Released Funds or any interest earned on the Released Funds as they relate to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or members of the Low Family, arising from any acts or omissions alleged in the Actions, or any of them.

17. Furthermore, and with the exception of the aforementioned conditions, upon entry of this Consent Judgment, neither the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California nor the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, shall now or in the future institute any action against Kobre & Kim LLP, The Christie Law Firm LLC, or Lowenstein Sandler LLP, or seek the seizure, freezing, return, forfeiture, or restraint of any kind of any of the Released Funds, or any interest earned on the Released Funds, for any acts or omissions relating to the Released Funds and preceding the date of receipt of the Released Funds.

# **Other Terms**

18. FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family shall not contest or assist any other individual or entity in contesting the forfeiture -- administrative, civil judicial, or criminal judicial -- of any of the Defendant Assets against which forfeiture is sought in connection with the acts alleged in the operative complaints in the Actions. Upon request of the government, FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family shall reasonably cooperate with the government in endorsing the effectiveness of this Consent Judgment and the Stipulation when responding to any claims contesting the forfeiture of the Defendant Assets, including the disposition thereof, and in connection with any

### Case 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180-1 Filed 10/30/19 Page 10 of 11 Page ID #:2127

disputes relating to the operation and maintenance of the Defendant Assets concerning or during the period prior to the date of the Stipulation. The Parties shall reasonably cooperate to effectuate the forfeiture of additional assets at issue in *United States v. One Pen and Ink Drawing by Vincent Van Gogh, etc., et al.*, CV 16-5366-DSF (PLAx); United States v. One Painting Entitled "Nature Morte au Crane de Taureau" by Pablo Picasso, et al., CV 17-4443-DSF (PLAx); United States v. One Pair of Diamond Earrings and Matching Diamond Ring, CV 17-4449-DSF (PLAx); and United States v. One 18-Carat White Gold Diamond Jewelry Set; et al.; CV 17-4445.

19. The following shall not be a breach of the foregoing clauses: (1) asserting defenses in any actions brought against FFP, the Claimant Entities, or members of the Low Family by third parties seeking to recover any of the Defendant Assets (or any substitute *res*) following the Court's entry of applicable Consent Judgments, or any of them; and (2) judicially mandated compliance by FFP, the Claimant Entities, and/or members of the Low Family with valid subpoenas ad testificandum, subpoenas duces tecum, or otherwise lawful compulsion orders. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall require FFP, the Claimant Entities, or members of the Low Family to waive attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other privilege, immunity, or statutory or constitutional right or protection.

20. The government may request receipt of documents and/or information relating to the Defendant Assets for purposes of managing and liquidating the Defendant Assets, and FFP and the Claimant Entities shall make good faith efforts to produce any such documents and/or information in their possession, or otherwise request that third-parties in possession of such documents and/or information make them readily available for the government's receipt in accordance with the Letter Agreement entered into by FFP and the government dates October 30, 2019.

21. With respect to Defendant assets held in trusts, FFP shall petition the
 foreign courts in the relevant trust jurisdictions – New Zealand and/or Cayman Islands –
 for orders known as "blessing orders" and other protective orders that would authorize

FFP to forfeit or otherwise distribute the Defendant Assets to the United States in 2 accordance with the orders of this Court.

In effectuating the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall act in 22. accordance with the laws and regulations of the foreign jurisdiction(s) in which the relevant Defendant Assets are located insofar as such laws and regulations are consistent with U.S. law.

Should any dispute arise about the interpretation of or compliance with the 23. terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall attempt in good faith to resolve any such disputes. However, should the parties be unable to resolve this dispute, the Parties may move this Court to impose any remedy this Court deems necessary to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

Each of the Parties shall bear its own fees and costs in connection with 24. these Actions in a manner consistent with the terms of this Consent Judgment.

, 2019

15	Dated:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**PRESENTED BY:** 

DEBORAH CONNOR Chief, MLARS

NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney

23 /s/JOHN J. KUCERA 24 Assistant United States Attorney 25 WOO S. LEE Deputy Chief, MLARS 26

Attorneys for Plaintiff 27 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 28

Ca	se 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180	Filed 10/30/19	Page 1 of 14	Page ID #:2104		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>beson 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180 Filed 10/30/19 Page 1 of 14 Page ID #:2104</li> <li>DEBORAH CONNOR, Chief Money Laundering and Asset Recovery Section (MLARS) MARY BUTLER</li> <li>Chief, International Unit</li> <li>WOO S. LEE</li> <li>Deputy Chief, International Unit</li> <li>KYLE R. FREENY, Trial Attorney</li> <li>JOSHUA L. SOHN, Trial Attorney</li> <li>BARBARA LEVY, Trial Attorney</li> <li>BARBARA LEVY, Trial Attorney</li> <li>Criminal Division</li> <li>United States Department of Justice</li> <li>1400 New York Avenue, N.W., 10th Floor</li> <li>Washington, D.C. 20530</li> <li>Telephone: (202) 514-1263</li> <li>Email: Woo.Lee@usdoj.gov</li> <li>NICOLA T. HANNA</li> <li>United States Attorney</li> <li>STEVEN R. WELK</li> <li>Asseistant United States Attorney</li> <li>Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section</li> <li>JOHN J. KUCERA (CBN: 274184)</li> <li>MICHAEL R. SEW HOY (CBN: 243391)</li> <li>Assistant United States Attorneys</li> <li>Asset Forfeiture Section</li> <li>312 North Spring Street, 14th Floor</li> <li>Los Angeles, California 90012</li> <li>Telephone: (213) 894-3391/(213) 894-3314</li> <li>Eastinele; (213) 894-3391/(213) 894-3314</li> <li>Eastinele; (213) 894-0142.</li> </ul>					
16 17 18	Email: John.Kucera@usdoj.gov Michael.R.Sew.Hoy@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> </ol>		S DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA No. CV 16-5364-DSF (PLAx) STIPULATION AND REQUEST TO ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE [The [PROPOSED] Consent Judgment of Forfeiture Lodged Contemporaneously Herewith Is Dispositive of This Action]				

JW NILE (BVI) LTD.,

Claimant.

#### I. **INTRODUCTION**

By the signatures of their counsel hereunder, Plaintiff United States of 1. America ("United States" or "the government"); FFP (Cayman) Limited, FFP Trustee (NZ) Limited, and FFP (Directors) Limited, FFP (Corporate Services) Limited (collectively, "FFP"); the FFP-controlled claimants identified below, including the Claimant herein, JW Nile (BVI) Ltd. (together collectively with "FFP," the "Claimant Entities"); and beneficiaries Low Hock Peng, Goh Gaik Ewe, Low May Lin, Low Taek Szen, and Low Taek Jho (collectively, the "Low Family"), respectfully request that the Court enter the [PROPOSED] Consent Judgment of Forfeiture (the "[Proposed] Consent Judgment") lodged contemporaneously herewith, to carry into effect the terms of this stipulation (the "Stipulation"), which is dispositive of this action.

П.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

# **PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

#### The Related Forfeiture Cases A.

During 2016 and 2017, the government commenced thirty related civil 2. forfeiture cases in the Central District of California (the "Actions") against a wide variety of real and personal property defendants located in the United States and abroad (the "Defendant Assets")<sup>1</sup>, as set forth in further detail below.

<sup>24</sup> <sup>1</sup> The Defendant Assets are either the *res* or the proceeds of the interlocutory sale of the res ("substitute res") as identified in further detail in the legal descriptions 25 provided in the operative Verified Complaints of Forfeiture filed in the cases listed in 26 Section II.B below, and this Stipulation incorporates those descriptions by reference. The Defendant Assets are owned either personally by members of the Low Family and/or by 27 the Claimant Entities. FFP directly owns and/or controls each of the Claimant Entities 28 either through a trust structure and/or shareholding(s) and/or directorship(s).

a. The United States initiated this civil forfeiture action on July 20, 2016. (Docket Number ("DN") 1). A First Amended Complaint ("FAC") was filed on August 4, 2017. (DN 140). Notice was given and published according to law. On October 31, 2016, Low Hock Peng, Goh Gaik Ewe, Low Taek Szen and Low May Lin filed a verified claim (DN 36), which claim was subsequently withdrawn on May 9, 2017. (DN 129). On December 22, 2016, EMI Music Publishing Management, LLC ("EMI") filed a verified claim in response to the Complaint (DN 80) and, on October 3, 2017, a verified claim in response to the FAC. (DN 150). EMI thereafter filed an answer to the FAC on October 24, 2017. (DN 156). On April 4, 2019, EMI withdrew its claims and answer. (DN 177).

b. Pursuant to this Court's Order of March 21, 2017 (DN 122), claims were filed on March 24, 2017 by Claimant JW Nile (BVI) Ltd. (DN 126, in response to the Complaint) and October 11, 2017 (DN 152, in response to the FAC). On October 30, 2018, this Court entered an Order resolving all interests of EMI, and authorizing an interlocutory sale of the defendant asset. (DN 172). Said sale resulted in net proceeds of \$415,055,702.34, which proceeds are currently in the custody of the United States Marshals Service as a substitute res. (DN 173). JW Nile (BVI) Ltd. remains the sole claimant in this action, and the time for filing claims and answers has expired.

3. The government, FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family, which for the avoidance of doubt includes, collectively and individually, each of their predecessors, assigns, subsidiaries, parent companies, and affiliated entities (the "Parties"), have executed this Stipulation in order to reach a global, comprehensive agreement that forever resolves in their entirety the competing interests of the Parties in the Actions listed below.<sup>2</sup>

4. This Stipulation does not constitute an admission of guilt, fault, liability and/or any form of wrongdoing on the part of FFP, the Claimant Entities, or the Low

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> However, it is not necessarily dispositive of all of the Actions, as others not parties to this Stipulation are claimants in some of the Actions, as noted.

Family. Furthermore, upon entry of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California and the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, acknowledge and agree that they shall be bound by the terms of the Consent Judgment and the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel. The entry of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment shall resolve all of the 6 government's civil, criminal, and administrative asset forfeiture actions or proceedings relating to the Defendant Assets as they relate to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or members of the Low Family, arising from any acts or omissions alleged in the Actions, or any of 8 them. Nothing in this Stipulation constitutes a waiver or release by the government of criminal claims, except for the asset forfeiture claims related to the Defendant Assets.

5. A Stipulation essentially identical to this one is being filed in each of the Actions, and the extent to which the [Proposed] Consent Judgment in each Action will result in the disposition of the case is explained in each specific Stipulation and [Proposed] Consent Judgment. For the avoidance of doubt, where a Defendant Asset is subject to an order for interlocutory sale (the "Order(s) for Interlocutory Sale"), such order shall govern that interlocutory sale, and neither this Stipulation nor the [Proposed] Consent Judgement, once entered, shall abrogate or nullify the sales terms and procedures defined by an Order for Interlocutory Sale, or any underlying contracts or agreements entered into to effectuate those sales terms and procedures, unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Parties.

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

#### The Cases and Claims to Be Resolved B.

6. The Parties seek entry of [Proposed] Consent Judgments in each of the following Actions that will be case-dispositive due to the lack of presence of other claimants:

25 United States v. One Bombardier Global 5000 Jet Aircraft, Bearing a. Manufacturer's Serial Number 9265 and Registration Number N689WM, its Tools and 26 Appurtenances, and Aircraft Logbooks, CV 16-5367 DSF (PLAx) ("Bombardier Jet

27 28

Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is Global One Aviation (Global 1 5000) Ltd. 2

United States v. Real Property Located in New York, New York, CV b. 16-5374 DSF (PLAx) ("Columbus Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is 80 Columbus Circle (NYC) LLC.

United States v. Real Property Located in New York, New York, CV c. 16-5375 DSF (PLAx) ("Greene Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is 118 Greene Street (NYC) LLC.

d. United States v. Real Property Located in Los Angeles, California, CV 16-5378 DSF (PLAx) ("Oriole Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is Oriole Drive (LA) LLC.

United States v. Real Property in London, United Kingdom, owned by e. Stratton Street (London) Ltd., CV 17-4240 DSF (PLAx) ("Stratton Action"). The FFPcontrolled claimant in this action is Stratton Street (London) Limited.

f. United States v. Real Property in London, United Kingdom, owned by Seven Stratton Street (London) Ltd., CV 17-4242 DSF (PLAx) ("Seven Stratton Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is Seven Stratton Street (London) 18 Ltd.

19 United States v. Real Property in London, United Kingdom owned by g. Eight Nine Stratton Street (London) Ltd., CV 17-4244 DSF (PLAx) ("Eight Nine 20 Stratton Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is Eight Nine Stratton Street (London) Ltd. 22

23 h. United States v. Certain Rights and Interests in the Electrum Group, CV 17-4447 DSF (PLAx) ("Electrum Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this 24 action is JW Aurum (Cayman) GP Ltd. 25

United States v. All Rights to and Interests in the Shares of Flywheel i. Common Stock Held or Acquired by FW Sports Investments LLC, CV 17-4448 DSF

28

26

27

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

(PLAx) ("Flywheel Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is FW Sports
 Investments LLC.

j. United States v. Any Rights to Profits, Royalties and Distribution
Proceeds Owned by or Owed to JW Nile (BVI) Ltd., JCL Media (EMI Publishing Ltd),
and/or Jynwel Capital Ltd, Relating to EMI Music Publishing Group North America
Holdings, Inc., and D.H. Publishing L.P., CV 16-5364 DSF (PLAx) ("EMI Publishing
Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is JW Nile (BVI) Ltd.

7. The Parties seek entry of [Proposed] Consent Judgments in each of the following Actions that will resolve all claims of FFP, the Claimant Entities, and members of the Low Family, but which will not be case-dispositive due to the presence of other claimants not party to this Stipulation:

a. United States v. The Real Property Known as The Viceroy
L'Ermitage Beverly Hills, CV 16-5368 DSF (PLAx) ("L'Ermitage Real Property
Action"). The FFP-controlled claimant in this action is LBH Real Estate (Beverly Hills)
LLC. The claimant not party to this Stipulation in this case is VHG Beverly Hills LLC.

b. United States v. All Business Assets of The Viceroy L'Ermitage Beverly Hills, Including All Chattels and Intangible Assets, Inventory, Equipment, and All Leases, Rents and Profits Derived Therefrom, CV 16-5369 DSF (PLAx) ("L'Ermitage Business Assets Action"). The FFP-controlled claimants in this action are LBH Real Estate (Beverly Hills) LLC; JW Hospitality (VHG US) LLC; and JW Hospitality (VHG Intl) Ltd. The claimant not party to this Stipulation in this case is VHG Beverly Hills LLC.

c. United States v. Certain Rights to and Interests in The Viceroy Hotel
Group, CV 17-4438 DSF (PLAx) ("VHG Action"). The FFP-controlled claimants in this
action are JW Hospitality (VHG US) LLC and JW Hospitality (VHG Intl) Ltd. The
claimant not party to this Stipulation in this case is Mubadala Development Company
PJSC.

# 

8. The following cases previously have been resolved but are considered part of this global, comprehensive resolution:

a. United States v. All Right and Title to the Yacht M/Y Equanimity, CV
17-4441 DSF (PLAx) ("Equanimity Action"). The FFP-controlled claimants in this
action were Equanimity (Cayman) Ltd.; Equanimity Crew (Cayman) Ltd.; Equanimity
Lifestyle (Cayman) Ltd.; and Equanimity Operations & Maintenance (Cayman) Ltd. The
case was dismissed without prejudice by joint stipulation of the parties.

b. United States v. All Right to and Interest in Symphony CP (Park Lane) LLC, Held or Acquired, Directly or Indirectly, by Symphony CP Investments LLC and/or Symphony CP Investments Holdings LLC, Including Any Interest Held or Secured by the Real Property and Appurtenances Located at 36 Central Park South, New York, New York, Known as The Park Lane Hotel, Any Right to Collect and Receive Any Profits and Proceeds Therefrom, and Any Interest Derived From the Proceeds Invested in The Symphony CP (Park Lane) LLC by Symphony CP Investments LLC and Symphony CP(Park Lane) LLC, CV 16-5370 DSF (PLAx) ("Park Lane Action"). The FFPcontrolled claimants in this action were 36 CPS Condos (NYC) LLC; 36 CPS Luxury Hotel (NYC) Limited; 36 CPS Parking (NYC) Limited; and 36 CPS Residential Sales (NYC) Limited. The FFP-controlled claimants withdrew their claims, and a consent judgment of forfeiture was entered by the Court thereafter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

# **III. JURISDICTION AND TERMS**

# A. Jurisdiction

9. Solely for purposes of this Stipulation and [Proposed] Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and over the subject matter of the Actions. The Parties further agree that the government has given and published notice of the Actions as required by law, including Supplemental Rule G for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court; and, if taken as true, the allegations set out in the operative complaints are sufficient to state a claim for forfeiture of the Defendant Assets.

10. Notwithstanding Paragraph 9 above, the Parties agree that nothing
contained in this Stipulation or the [Proposed] Consent Judgment is intended or should
be interpreted as an admission of fault, guilt, liability and/or any form of wrongdoing by
FFP, the Claimant Entities, and/or the Low Family, each of which expressly denies any
fault, guilt, liability and/or any form of wrongdoing whatsoever.

# **B.** Disposition of Forfeited Defendant Assets and Substitute *Res*

11. Upon entry of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment, all right, title, and interest of FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family concerning the Defendant Assets named in the Actions listed in Section II.B above shall immediately be forfeited to the United States, and no other right, title, or interest shall exist therein, unless otherwise provided in this Stipulation, with the exception of the Defendant Assets in the Stratton Action, the Seven Stratton Action, and the Eight Nine Stratton Action (collectively, the "Stratton Actions"), which shall not be deemed forfeited until February 29, 2020, subject to Paragraph 12, below.

12. Where a Defendant Asset in the Stratton Actions is sold to a third party in an interlocutory sale prior to February 29, 2020, the proceeds of such sale shall be considered forfeited as the substitute *res* for the Defendant Asset in that Stratton Action on the date the sale is completed. A sale shall be considered "completed" after (1) the sales proceeds have been released from escrow, and (2) the sales proceeds have been distributed in accordance with the terms of the applicable Order(s) for Interlocutory Sale.

13. Upon entry of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment, the pre-existing contracts that FFP and/or the Claimant Entities have entered into with third parties in relation to the sale of the Defendant Assets in the Oriole Action, the Greene Action, and the Columbus Action will be terminated, and new contracts with substantially similar material terms executed between the government and those third parties will govern.

14. Any and all monetary proceeds from income ("Income Proceeds") generated from the operations and business activities of the Defendant Assets in the Greene Action, the Columbus Action, the L'Ermitage Real Property Action, the L'Ermitage Business Assets Action, and the Stratton Actions (collectively the "Income Property Actions"), including but not limited to rental income and/or income from hotel operations, shall be paid to and retained by the government for payments or reimbursement of any taxes or liabilities becoming due on said Income Proceeds prior to forfeiture, from the respective filing dates of each Income Property Action through the date of entry of this Stipulation. For the avoidance of doubt, in no event will the United States be liable for the payment of any tax liabilities that exceed the amount of the Income Proceeds unless pursuant to a separate court order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# C. Released Funds

15. The [Proposed] Consent Judgment provides that in consideration of the government's release of the sum of USD 15,000,000.00, without interest (the "Released Funds"), as described below, FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family shall forfeit to the government any right, title or interest in the Defendant Assets, except in accordance with the terms of this Stipulation and the [Proposed] Consent Judgment.

16. The Released Funds shall be drawn from a portion of the funds held in escrow in the instant action ("EMI Proceeds"), and shall be transferred to accounts as directed by Kobre & Kim LLP, The Christie Law Firm LLC, and Lowenstein Sandler LLP, who shall provide any reasonable information required, including personal identifiers required by federal law or regulation to facilitate payment, and complete all documents required to facilitate such payment. The payment of the Released Funds shall be made as soon as reasonably practicable, and in any event before the later of (i) 30 days after the entry of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment in all of the Actions in which a version of this Stipulation is filed; (ii) 30 days after the government's receipt of the information needed to make the payment (including the necessary identifiers and bank

account and routing information); or (iii) 14 days after the granting of the Cayman court petition, as referenced in Paragraph 25.

17. The Released Funds shall be applied in their entirety solely to pay for the Low Family's outstanding legal fees and costs relating to the Actions. Under no circumstances shall the Released Funds be remitted and/or credited back by counsel, either in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or the Low Family For the avoidance of doubt, the application, and/or use of the Released Funds as payment of legal fees and costs relating to the Actions listed in Section II.B above, including for use as payment of future legal fees and costs incurred on behalf of the Low Family to implement the Order entered pursuant to this Stipulation, shall not itself be considered a remittance, credit, and/or benefit whatsoever, directly or indirectly, to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or the Low Family. The Parties agree that violation of this term would constitute a material breach of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment and, notwithstanding any other provision of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment, would entitle the government to seek, and this Court to impose, any remedy or sanction authorized by law or equity.

18. With the exception of the foregoing conditions, and consistent with the doctrines of *res judicata* and collateral estoppel, the entry of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment shall resolve all of the government's civil, criminal, and administrative asset forfeiture actions or proceedings relating to the Released Funds or any interest earned on the Released Funds as they relate to FFP, the Claimant Entities, or members of the Low Family, arising from any acts or omissions alleged in the Actions, or any of them.

19. Furthermore, and with the exception of the aforementioned conditions, the government agrees that upon entry of the [Proposed] Consent Judgment, the U.S.
Attorney's Office for the Central District of California and the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, shall not now or in the future institute any action against Kobre & Kim LLP, The Christie Law Firm LLC, or Lowenstein Sandler LLP, or seek the seizure, freezing, return, forfeiture, or restraint of any kind of any of the Released

## Case 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180 Filed 10/30/19 Page 11 of 14 Page ID #:2114

Funds, or any interest earned on the Released Funds, for any acts or omissions relating to the Released Funds and preceding the date of receipt of the Released Funds.

1

# **D.** Other Terms

20. FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family agree that they will not contest or assist any other individual or entity in contesting the forfeiture -administrative, civil judicial, or criminal judicial -- of any of the Defendant Assets against which forfeiture is sought in connection with the acts alleged in the operative complaints in the Actions. Upon request of the government, FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family agree to reasonably cooperate with the government in endorsing the effectiveness of this agreement when responding to any claims contesting the forfeiture of the Defendant Assets, including the disposition thereof, and in connection with any disputes relating to the operation and maintenance of the Defendant Assets concerning or during the period prior to the date of this Stipulation. The Parties further agree to reasonably cooperate to effectuate the forfeiture of additional assets at issue in *United* States v. One Pen and Ink Drawing by Vincent Van Gogh, etc., et al., CV 16-5366-DSF (PLAx); United States v. One Painting Entitled "Nature Morte au Crane de Taureau" by Pablo Picasso, et al., CV 17-4443-DSF (PLAx); United States v. One Pair of Diamond Earrings and Matching Diamond Ring, CV 17-4449-DSF (PLAx); and United States v. One 18-Carat White Gold Diamond Jewelry Set; et al, CV 17-4445-DSF (PLAx).

21 21. For the avoidance of doubt, the following shall not be a breach of the
22 foregoing clauses: (1) asserting defenses in any actions brought against FFP, the
23 Claimant Entities, or members of the Low Family by third parties seeking to recover any
24 of the Defendant Assets (or any substitute *res*) following the Court's entry of the
25 applicable [Proposed] Consent Judgment; and (2) judicially mandated compliance by
26 FFP, the Claimant Entities, and/or members of the Low Family with valid subpoenas ad
27 testificandum, subpoenas duces tecum, or otherwise lawful compulsion orders. Nothing
28 in this paragraph shall require FFP, the Claimant Entities, or members of the Low

# Case 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180 Filed 10/30/19 Page 12 of 14 Page ID #:2115

Family to waive attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other privilege, immunity, or statutory or constitutional right or protection.

22. By the signatures of their attorneys hereunder, FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family release the government, its agencies, agents, officers, and attorneys, including employees and agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Internal Revenue Service, and the Department of Justice, from any and all claims, actions, or liabilities arising out of or related to the seizure and retention of the Defendant Assets and the commencement of the Actions, including any claim for attorneys' fees or costs that may be asserted on behalf of FFP, the Claimant Entities, or the Low Family against the government, whether pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 or otherwise. If FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family, or any of them, submitted a petition for remission in any of the Actions, such petitions are hereby withdrawn, and FFP, the Claimant Entities, and the Low Family waive any rights they may have, individually or collectively, to seek remission or mitigation of the forfeiture of the Defendant Assets in any of the Actions.

23. The Parties stipulate and agree that the government may request receipt of documents and/or information relating to the Defendant Assets for purposes of managing and liquidating the Defendant Assets, and that FFP and the Claimant Entities shall make good faith efforts to produce any such documents and/or information in their possession, or otherwise request that third-parties in possession of such documents and/or information make them readily available for the government's receipt, as set forth in the separate letter agreement dated October 30, 2019.

24. The Parties stipulate and agree that the Court's entry of the respective [Proposed] Consent Judgment shall be the final and complete satisfaction of all claims asserted by the government and FFP, the Claimant Entities, and members of the Low Family as relates to the Actions.

25. The Parties jointly acknowledge and agree that, as a condition precedent to the forfeiture of the Defendant Assets held in trusts, FFP shall petition the foreign courts in the relevant trust jurisdictions – New Zealand and/or Cayman Islands – for orders

## Case 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180 Filed 10/30/19 Page 13 of 14 Page ID #:2116

known as "blessing orders" and other protective orders that would authorize FFP to forfeit or otherwise distribute the Defendant Assets to the United States in accordance with the orders of this Court.

26. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that in effectuating the terms of this Stipulation and the [Proposed] Consent Judgment of Forfeiture lodged contemporaneously herewith, the Parties shall act in accordance with the laws and regulations of the foreign jurisdiction(s) in which the relevant Defendant Assets are located insofar as such laws and regulations are consistent with U.S. law.

27. Should any dispute arise about the interpretation of or compliance with the terms of this Stipulation, the Parties shall attempt in good faith to resolve any such disputes. However, should the Parties be unable to resolve a dispute, either party may move the Court to impose any remedy this Court deems necessary to enforce the terms of this Stipulation and [Proposed] Consent Judgment.

28. The Parties agree that this Stipulation is entered into for the sole purpose of serving as a global comprehensive resolution agreement forever resolving the competing interests of the Parties in the Actions, so as to avoid the expenses and risks associated ///

17 /// 18 /// 

# Case 2:16-cv-05364-DSF-PLA Document 180 Filed 10/30/19 Page 14 of 14 Page ID #:2117

with continued litigation. Each of the Parties shall bear its own fees and costs in connection with the Actions in a manner consistent with the terms of this Stipulation. 2

-		
3		
4	Dated: October 30, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
5		DEBORAH CONNOR
6		Chief, MLARS
7		NICOLA T. HANNA
8		United States Attorney
		/s/
9		JOHN J. KUCERA
10		Assistant United States Attorney
11		WOO S. LEE
		Deputy Chief, MLARS
12		Attomatic for Plaintiff
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
14		entitle statles of multidear
15		
16	Dated: October 30, 2019	/s/ EKWAN E. RHOW
17		JEREMY D. MATZ NAEUN RIM
		PATRICIA H. JUN
18		NITHIN KUMAR Bird Marella Boxer Wolpert Nessim
19		Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C.
20		Attorneys for FFP and the Claimant Entities
21		JW NILE (BVI) LTD.,
22		/s/
23		ROBIN RATHMELL
	Dated: October 30, 2019	Kobre & Kim LLP
24		Attorneys for the Low Family
25		And on behalf of The Christie Law Firm LLC
26		and Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Attorneys for Low Taek Jho
27		
28		
		14